



Reviewer Rating Sheet CONFIDENTIAL

Organization Name: _____

Project Title: _____
Total Amount Requested: _____

Reviewer Name: _____

Reviewer Date: _____

Instructions: Please assign a numerical rating for each of the criteria below. Scores may range from 1 to 7, with 1 being the worst and 7 being the best. Each scoring criteria is weighted equally. Score descriptors and definitions are as follows:

7	Exceptional	Very strong with no weaknesses identified
6	Excellent	Very strong with a few minor weaknesses identified
5	Good	Strong with at least one moderate weakness identified
4	Satisfactory	Some strengths and several moderate weaknesses identified
3	Fair	A few strengths and at least one major weakness identified
2	Marginal	Very few strengths and a few major weaknesses identified
1	Poor	No strengths and several major weaknesses identified

Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen ability to meet criteria

Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens ability to meet criteria

Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits ability to meet criteria

CRITERIA

Impact – Will the project have a substantial positive impact on increasing the percentage of people who enter, stay in, or progress through the continuum of care? Will the project have a substantial impact on the priority selected? How closely does the project align with the funding priorities stated in the RFA? Does the project have a sufficient and documented plan to evaluate its impact?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Feasibility – How likely is it that the objectives and activities will be achieved within the scope of the funded project? Is the project well planned? Is the budget appropriate and realistic? Does the budget justification explain in detail the reasoning and need for the costs associated with the project?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Capacity – Does the organization, Project Director and his/her team have the expertise to effectively implement all aspects of the project? Is the organization respected and valued by the target population? Is it culturally competent?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Collaboration – Does this project enhance collaboration among organizations with similar or complementary goals? Are the roles of the partners appropriate and relevant?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Sustainability – Is the project likely to be sustained? Are partnerships likely to be sustained past project period? Is the impact likely to be long-term

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Evidence-Based Methodology – Is the project based upon a proven model of success? If so, which one? If not, what tools will be used to collect data; both qualitative & quantitative? Does the applicant describe in detail how the model is and/or will be incorporated into the Komen funded project?

Comments:

POINTS (1-7):

Total Points:

Average Score (Total score / 6):

Additional Comments: